

Universität Stuttgart



UNISTUTT 10th of June 2021







Previously





Currently





5-8U 0-00100L-1



EPR measurements with and without mirror



EPR measurements without mirror







EPR measurements with absorber, with PETG sample-holder



We have now removed most of the radiation that doesn't interact with the sample and that contributes to the background signal. The signal from NVC is now more clear. This is probably back-scattered directly by the sample itself and is unavoidable, unless we change sample.

EPR measurements with absorber, with PETG sample-holder, with tip





NO DEMODULATION/ NO OSCILLATING TIP Plot 0 \sim



COPOLAR

CROSSPOLAR

+ 💌 🛞

01 TIP OHz tcOms Sweep48sec _copolar_mod0V



Frequency

XY Graph

+ 🗩 🕪

3-

DEMODULATED

16 TIP45deg 30990Hz Plot 0 📈 _tc100us_sweep48sec _copolar_mod5V





We see a difference with respect to not demodulated, both in co- and crosspolar. However, the same effect in copolar we see also with the FiberTIP. Instead, the crosspolar of TIP45deg is unique: is this due to the antenna?

12 TIP45deg 30990Hz _tc100ms_sweep48sec _crosspolar_mod5V





CROSSPOLAR

COPOLAR

CROSSPOLAR







- Try to measure NiFe and CuPc disks. ٠
- Demodulate at the frequency oscillation of the tip. ٠ Also at the 2nd harmonic.
- Measure at fixed field and increasing tip distance. ٠

SECONDARY

- Figure out why the AMC multiplier is not working; Try to drive it with old synthesizer;
- Power test of AWG;
- Lock-in to repair.